

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,910	04/03/2000	WALTER SEBALD	LEA32545	6688
75	90 03/11/2003			
JEFFREY M GREENMAN BAYER CORPORATION 400 MORGAN LANE			EXAMINER	
			SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN	
WEST HAVEN	, CT 06516		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1647	
		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/509,910	SEBALD, WALTER
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jegatheesan Seharaseyor	n 1647
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become AR	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>10 February</u> 2003 .	
2a) <u></u> □		☐ This action is non-final.	
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	r allowance except for formal mate	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers	•	
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.	
	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🛛 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[∑	〗All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
•	Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority doci	uments have been received in App	plication No
	B.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation se the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for do		
a) 15)∐ Ad	☐ The translation of the foreign langua cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has bee	en received.
Attachment(s			
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.	04.04)	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 22

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set fort h in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/10/03 in Paper Nos: 19 and 20 has been entered. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 5-10 are pending. Applicant has amended claim 9 and added claim 10.
 Claims 5-8 are withdrawn from further consideration because it is drawn to non-elected invention. Therefore claims 9 and 10 are under consideration.
- 3. The text of those sections of title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in the previous office action (Paper No: 11 and 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 withdrawn

- 4. Applicants amendment to claim 9 has necessitated in the withdrawal of the pending rejection as being unpatentable over Kruse et al. (1993) in view of Duschl (1995).
- 5. The following are new grounds of rejection necessitated by applicant's submission of a new claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Application/Control Number: 09/509,910

Art Unit: 1647

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Greve et al. (U.S. Patent No: 6, 028, 176)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

The instant invention is directed to hIL-4 mutein proteins that have reduced affinity and/or an altered specificity to the γ subunit of the IL-4 receptor and/or HIL-13R α subunit of the hIL-4 receptor.

Greve et al. teaches production of the muteins of IL-4 I11A, K12A, N15A and N15D (Table 1). Reduced affinity and/or an altered specificity to the γ subunit of the IL-4 receptor and/or HIL-13R α subunit of the hIL-4 receptor is inherent to the mutations disclosed. It also discusses additional changes at positions 121 and 124 and its effect on the binding and specificity (see column 17 and 18). Therefore, the disclosure of Greve et al. anticipates claims 9 and 10.

Page 4

7. No claims are allowable.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 703-305-1112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 703-308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Aug & Km 3

JS

March 10, 2003